J Agri Sci, 6,7(1-2): 1-8 (2015-2016)
PRINT: ISSN 0976-6898 ONLINE: ISSN 2456-6535 DOI: 10.31901/24566535.2015-16/06-07.1-2.01

Comparative Analysis of Socio-economic and Psychological Behaviour of Adopted and Non-adopted Farmers in Scientific Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Cultivation Practices in North Eastern Region

A.K. Singha[1], A.K. Tripathi[2], P.C. Jat[3], R. Bordoloi[4], J.K. Singha[5] and M. Devi[6]

[1,2,3,4]ICAR-Zonal Project Directorate, Zone-III, Umiam, 793 103, Meghalaya, India
E-mail: [1]<Arun.Singha@icar.gov.in>, [2]<aktripathi2020@gmail.com>, [3]<jat4pc@gmail.com>
[4]<rmbshl@rediffmail.com>,
[5]Karimganj College, Assam, India
E-mail: [5]<joykumarsinghk@rediffmail.com>
[6]GBPUA&T, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand,
E-mail: [6]<merinadevi.90@gmail.com>

KEYWORDS Socio-economic. Psychological. Adoption. Rice Cultivation. North Eastern Region

ABSTRACT This study to explore the socio-economic and psychological behaviour of farmers of rice technology was conducted in 13 purposively selected KVK districts in the region. A sample size of 130 respondents from each adopted and non-adopted villages was taken through proportionate random sampling. Data collection from the selected respondents was made with the help of pre-tested structured schedule through personal interview method. The study reveals that over half of the respondents in KVKs adopted villages had medium level of adoption of improved rice cultivation practices, while majority with over half of the total respondents in non-adopted villages were found poor adoption level of the same rice practices. Respondents of non-beneficiary farmers was found little adoption of recommendations of practices like seed rate, seed treatment using chemicals and bio-pesticides, application of manures and fertilizers and use of chemicals and bio-pesticides as plant protection measures, however, beneficiary farmers had reported medium extent of adoption of these practices. The study further shows that out of 13 independent variables under study, education and extension contact were found having positively significant relationship with the extent of adoption of improved rice cultivation practices. While three variables namely, type of primary farming activities, training received and extension contact had emerged as the most dominant factors influencing farmers to extent of adoption of improved rice cultivation practices.

INTRODUCTION

The North Eastern Region of India is diverse in many ways –ecologically, socially and culturally. Within this diversity, stewardship in agriculture, forestry, fisheries, livestock and other natural resource sectors continues to be a mental component of wise use of the region's natural legacy. It is well established fact that with systematic and scientific approaches and interventions made by the Krishi Vigyan Kendras (KVKs), a lot of changes have been taken place in the region in terms of adoption pattern of agriculture and allied sectors by farmers, their farm production and productivity level, income and employment generation etc. which directly made significant impact on overall socio-economic development and living standards of farming community in the region.

However, the full social and economic contribution of KVK programs and activities in the region has not been well studied and documented and thus remain poorly understood among the society at large. The primary background information through systematic assessment of the value of functioning of KVK in existing initiatives and approaches could help in setting future location specific policy and program directions in support of agriculture and other community-based natural resource management in the region. There is serious need to align social and economic signals and financial rewards with sustainability goals. Of late, however, more attention has been directed towards the crucial role of farmers in the sustainable production and management of agricultural technologies. It is of paramount importance to identify the factors that have significant contribution towards adoption of improved rice cultivation practices as well as those that represent main constraints for the diffusion /adoption process (Nell et al. 1998).

Their key environmental and socio-economic factors have significant influence towards adoption and diffusion of agriculture technologies (Lestrelin et al. 2012). Hence, the present study was undertaken to see the adoption behaviour of the farmers of adopted and non-adopted villages with respect to improved rice cultivation practices, leading to in-depth understanding of the factors influencing the rate and intensity of adoption and transfer of technologies.

Objectives of the Study

1. To determine the extent of adoption of selected improved practices of rice cultivation by farmers

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was conducted during 2012-14 by the ICAR-Zonal Project Directorate, Zone-III as part of the institute research project- "Impact Analysis of KVK Activities in North Eastern Region".

Location of Study

The study was conducted in purposively selected 13 districts of North Eastern Region which consists of eight states. Only those districts in the region where KVKs are in existence for last 15 years with full strength of scientific staff and infra-structural facilities were selected for the study. From the selected 13 districts of the region (that is, Assam-4, Arunachal Pradesh-1, Manipur-1, Meghalaya-1, Nagaland-1, Mizoram-2, Tripura-2 and Sikkim-1), two villages-one adopted village based on production potential of different farming systems and relatively higher proximity with the respective KVK in farming activities and one non-adopted village where least/ no KVK interventions/ activities have been taken place during last 15 years were selected from each district.

Selection of Farmers

On consultation with the available records of the KVK as well as local leaders and extension workers, a list of farmers representing two different categories was prepared for each village. From the individual list of farmers from each village, ten farmers respondents each from adopted and non-adopted village were randomly selected, which made 20 respondents (10 beneficiary and 10 non-beneficiary) from each district. Thus a total of 260 farmer respondents were finally selected for data collection from 13 districts of the region. Any farmer in adopted village who has been directly associating or receiving help and technical support from KVK in carrying out of farming activities including rice cultivation in his own farming system for last fifteen years was considered as respondent (beneficiary) for the present study. While a farmer in non-adopted village who is carrying out rice cultivation practices in his farming system with no/ least technical support and assistance from the KVK for last fifteen years was considered as respondent (non-beneficiary) for the present study.

Measurement of Variables

The independent variables viz., age, education, caste, family type and family size were measured with the help of scales developed by Trivedi and Pareek (1964). The variables- primary occupation, annual income, size of operational land holding, type of primary farming activities, farming experience, trainings received, mass media exposure and extension contact were measured with the help of schedules structured for the study.

Extent of adoption of improved rice cultivation practices was considered as the dependent variable, which was operationally defined as the level of adoption of recommended rice cultivation practices by the respondents in their farming system. To determine the extent of adoption, improved rice practices were listed out and a schedule consisting of questions against each selected practice was administered to the intended respondents in a 4-point Likert type scale namely; "to a great extent", "to a significant extent", "to a little extent", "not at all" with scores as 3, 2, 1 and 0 respectively. For the purpose of analysis, the mean adoption scores were calculated separately for each of the practice as well as for all the practices. Finally, on the basis of scores obtained, the respondents were classified into 3 categories by following the procedure as adopted by Dasgupta (1989).

Data Collection

A pre-tested well structured schedule comprising all aspects of personal, socio-economic

and psychological variables of the respondents as well as mandated activities such as demonstrations, training programmes and other extension activities conducted by KVKs was prepared in the light of the objectives of the study for data collection from the intended respondents. Data collection from randomly selected respondents was made by using pre-tested "Structured Schedule" through personal interview method followed by group discussion. The selected respondents were personally approached and interviewed at their place of residence field by the investigators along with the scientific staff of the concerned KVK and their responses were carefully recorded in the schedule.

Statistical Analysis

The collected data were coded, tabulated and analysed in accordance with the objectives of the study using appropriate statistical tests. The rank order correlation of coefficients were calculated to see the strength of association between the rankings produced by dependent and independent variables by using the formula given.

$$r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \sum d^2}{n(n^2-1)}$$

Where, r_s = Spearman's rank order correlation coefficients

d^2 = square of the difference of corresponding rank

While mathematical measure like regression analysis was used to ascertain the contribution of independent variables on dependent variable. The formula is given below.

$$Y = a+b_1x_1+b_2x_2+b_3x_3+b_4x_4+b_5x_5+b_6x_6+b_7x_7+b_8x_8+b_9x_9+b_{10}x_{10}+b_{11}x_{11}+b_{12}x_{12}+b_{13}x_{13}$$

Where,

Y = dependent variable (extent of adoption of rice cultivation practices)

a = constant, b = regression co-efficient

x_1=age , x_2= education , x_3= caste, x_4= family type, x_5= family size, x_6= primary occupation, x_7= annual income, x_8= size of operational land holding, x_9= type of primary farming activities, x_{10}=farming experience, x_{11}= training received, x_{12}=mass media exposure and x_{13}=extension contact. The calculated value of 't' were compared with the table value of 't' at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability.

Fisher 't' test, $t = r\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{1-r^2}}$ with (n-2) d. f.

Where, r = observed co-efficient of correlation, n=number of observation

d. f. =degree of freedom, and $t = \frac{B}{\hat{s}}$ with (n-k) d.f.

Where, B=regression co-efficient, $\hat{s}$ = standard error, n=number of observation, K= number of independent variables were applied to respective rank order correlation coefficients and multiple regression to identify the significant cause effect relationship that is, to ascertain the role of independent variables on the dependent variable.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Extent of Adoption of Rice Cultivation Practices

The findings related to farmers' extent of adoption indicate that over half of the respondents (66.15%) had medium level of adoption of improved practices of rice cultivation. While 19.23 percent and 14.62 percent respondents had low and high level of adoption of improved practices in rice cultivation in case of the farmers of adopted villages (Table 1). The mean value of 20.45 indicates that by and large, farmers of adopted villages in the study area had medium level of adoption on improved practices in rice cultivation. In case of farmers of non-adopted

Table 1: Extent of adoption of rice cultivation technology by the respondents of adopted and non-adopted villages

Category	*Score range*	*Distribution of respondents*								*Mean difference*
		Adopted village (n_1=130)				*Non-adopted village (n_2=130)*				
		F	*%*	*Mean*	*S.D.*	*F*	*%*	*Mean*	*S.D.*	
Low	<17.19	25	19.23			73	56.15			
Medium	17.19-23.71	86	66.15	20.45	3.26	49	37.69	16.55	4.31	3.90
High	>23.71	19	14.62			8	6.16			
Total		130	100.00			130	100.00			

villages, majority of the respondents (56.15%) were found in low category of adoption level followed by medium (37.69%) and high (6.16%) respectively. The corresponding mean value of 16.55 indicates that farmers in non-adopted villages were poor in adoption of improved rice cultivation practices. The mean difference of 3.90 between the adoption levels of two categories of respondents further, focuses urgent requirement of KVK interventions including for hand-on training programmes for farmers particularly those of non-adopted villages. The findings of the study were in agreement with the results obtained by Naik (2005), Thippeswamy (2007) and Kumar (2009). Sidram (2008) also reported similar findings with majority respondents belonged to medium level of knowledge in improved cultivation practices of pigeon pea in Gularga district of Karnataka, India.

Practice- wise Extent of Adoption of Rice Cultivation Practices

Out of the nine selected practices of rice cultivation (Table 2) namely, seed selection, seed treatment, seed rate, land preparation, transplanting, manures and fertilizers application, interculture operation, water management and plant protection measures, all the respondents (100%) of adopted villages were found adoption of practices like application of manures and fertilizers, interculture operations (weeding, gap filling, thinning etc.), water management (with 5cm irrigation water 3 days after disappearance of ponded water) and application of chemicals and bio-pesticides as plant protection measures the specific recommendations under each practice notwithstanding. This was followed by recommended seed rate for rice (98.46%), selection of seeds from authorized dealers/ agencies (96.92%), field preparation with recommended number and depth of ploughings (94.61%) and seed treatment using chemicals and bio-pesticides and transplantation (3 seedlings per hill with 20X15 cms with 90.77 percent each. The table further shows that among the farmers of adopted villages, over three-fourths respondents were found medium adoption of interculture operations (82.31%) and application of chemicals and bio-pesticides as plant protection measures (78.46%). In case of farmers of no-adopted villages, all the respondents (100%) had reported adoption of practices such as application of manures and fertilizers, interculture operations (weeding, gap filling, thinning etc.), water management (with 5cm irrigation water 3 days after disappearance of ponded water) and application of chemicals and bio-pesticides as plant protection measures irrespective of specific recommendations under each practice. It is worthwhile to note that over half of the total respondents under this farmer category were found only little adoption of the four practices like seed treatment using chemicals and bio-pesticides (60.77%), recommended seed rate for rice (63.08%), application of manures and fertilizers (58.46%) and application of chemicals and bio-pesticides as plant protection measures (60.00%).

Relationship and Influence of Socio-economic and Psychological Characteristics of Respondents with and on Their Extent of Adoption of Rice Cultivation Practices

In order to study the nature of relationship between socio-economic and psychological characteristics and extent of adoption improved rice cultivation practices, the rank order correlation co-efficients were calculated with the help of computer software SAS 9.2. The results are given in Table 3. From the table, it is seen that out of 13 independent variables under study namely; age, education, caste, family type, family size, primary occupation, annual income, size of operational land holding, type of primary farming activities, farming experience, trainings received, mass media exposure and extension contact, four variables viz. education, trainings received, mass media exposure and training received were found having positively significant correlation with the extent of adoption of in rice cultivation practices as evident from their corresponding 'r' values having significant at 0.01 and 0.05 levels of probability in case of beneficiary respondents. While only two variables-education and extension contact were found positively significant relationship with the extent of adoption of in rice cultivation practices in case of non-beneficiary respondents. This indicates that higher the level of those positively significant variables of the respondents higher would be their extent of adoption towards improved rice cultivation practices. Raghavendra (1997), Saikrishna (1998) and Bharathamma et al. (2006) also noticed significant relation in case of mass media use with the adoption level

Table 2: Practice-wise extent of adoption of improved rice cultivation practices by the farmers

S. No.	Practice	Distribution of respondents (n_1=130, n_2=130))											
		To a great extent(3)		To a signif-icant extent (2)		To a little extent (1)		Not at all (0)		Total adoption		Total score	
		AV (f_1)	NAV (f_2)	AV (f_1)	NAV (f_2)	AV (f_1)	NAV (f_2)	AV (f_1)	NAV (f_2)	AV (f_1)	NAV (f_2)	AV	NAV
1.	Selection of seeds from authorized dealers/ agencies	24	15	75	53	27	58	4	4	126 (96.92)	126 (96.92)	249	209
2.	Seed treatment using chemicals and bio-pesticides	19 19	0 0	63 63	21 21	36 36	79 79	12 12	30 30	118 (90.77)	100 (76.92)	219	121
3.	Recommended seed rate for rice	19	2	89	35	20	82	2	11	128 (98.46)	119 (91.54)	255	158
4.	Field preparation with recommended number and depth	14	8	82	55	27	58	7	9	123 (94.61)	121 (93.07)	233	192
5.	Transplanting	21	11	78	52	19	57	12	10	118 (90.77)	120 (92.31)	238	194
6.	Application of manures and Fertilizers	19 19	9 9	88 88	45 45	23 23	76 76	0 0	0 0	130 (100.00)	130 (100.00)	256	193
7.	Interculture operations	16	14	107	78	7	38	0	0	130 (100.00)	130 (100.00)	269	236
8.	Water management (with 5cm irrigation water 3 days after disappearance of ponded water)	17	6	97	53	16	60	0	0	130 (100.00)	130 (100.00)	261	184
9.	Application of chemicals and bio-pesticides as plant protection measures	19	7	102	45	9	78	0	0	130 (100.00)	130) (100.00)	270	189

Note: AV-Adopted village, NAV-Non-adopted village and Figures in parentheses indicate percentage

of the farmers. It is interesting to note that increase in annual income of the farmers in both categories from different sources other than farming had no relationship with the extent of adoption of the rice technologies. This finding was supported by that of the study conducted by Umar et al. (2009), a possible inference from the finding is that respondents with high income because of their potential privileged position to acquire production inputs will be more willing to adopt new technologies and accept higher risk than a low income respondents. Hence, the concerned stakeholders in the region should pay higher emphasis to improve and develop those dominant personality traits through different innovative extension approaches including capacity building programmes supported by the provision for infrastructure facilities and inputs supply.

The multiple regression analysis was employed to determine the relative influence of eachindependent variable in explaining the variation in the dependent variable (Table 3). The thirteen independent variables namely; age, education, caste, family type, family size, primary occupation, annual income, size of operational land holding, type of primary farming activities, farming experience, trainings received, mass media exposure and extension contact were included for the purpose of this study. The predictive power of each multiple regression was estimated by working out the value of co-efficient of determination (R^2). To test the statistical significant of the regression co-efficients, the 't' values were also calculated. The results of this analysis are given in Table 3. The table shows that 3 (three) out of 13 (thirteen) independent variables viz; type of primary farming activities, training received and extension contact of the beneficiary respondents, as shown by their significant 't' values, had significant contribution to their extent of adoption of rice cultivation practices and were considered as the most dominant factors affecting the extent of adoption improved rice cultivation practices. While only two variables- training received and mass media exposure had yielded significant contribution to their extent of adoption of rice cultivation practices in case of non-beneficiary respondents. This signifies that those positively significant variables had the highest contribution to the extent of adoption improved rice cultivation practices in study areas. The R^2 value of 0.237 and 0.206 clearly indicate that all the thirteen independent variables taken together helped in explaining about 23.70 percent and 20.60 percent of the total variation in beneficiary and non-beneficiary respondents' extent of adoption in improved rice cultivation respectively.

Table 3: Relationship and contribution of independent variables towards dependent variable

Independent variables	*Adoption of technologies by the farmers (Dependent variable)*					
	'r' value		*Std. 'b' value*		*'t' value*	
	AV	*NAV*	*AV*	*NAV*	*AV*	*NAV*
Age	.003	.172	.136	.161	1.364	1.456
Education	.185*	.186*	.105	.094	.952	-.806
Caste	-.117	-.066	-.165	-.047	-1.602	-.492
Family type	.010	-.065	-.056	-.121	-.475	-.980
Family size	.025	-.018	.012	.113	.110	.846
Primary occupation	-.144	-.144	-.133	-.150	-1.323	-1.479
Annual income	.013	.005	.122	.056	1.144	.511
Size of operational land holding	.122	.020	.110	.051	1.155	.539
Type of primary farming activities	.135	-.041	-.165	.080	1.955*	.741
Farming experience	.073	.065	-.028	-.051	-.298	-.459
Trainings received	.232**	.077	.173	.239	1.986*	2.249*
Mass media exposure	.194*	.137	.160	.216	1.458	2.013*
Extension contact	.182*	.181*	-.229	.157	2.056*	.841
R^2-value			**0.237**	**0.206**		

*Significant at 0.05 level of probability
** Significant at 0.01 level of probability
AD-Adopted Village, NAD-Non-Adopted Village

CONCLUSION

From the study, it is concluded that some of the practices although very important in terms of potential quality yield of rice, were poorly adopted their specific recommendations by the majority of the respondents. Extension programmes conducted by KVKs for farmers in remote area and information transmitted orally among trained farmers in adopted villages were not enough to increase adoption of rice technologies. Technologies with complicated components or required more time and labours were difficult for farmers to apply the recommended specific practices in their farming systems. The study further reveals that due to various scientific and innovative approaches taken up by KVKs in study area, farmers in adopted villages had the highest benefit of crop production per year by increasing cropping intensity in their farming system compared to that of farmers of non-adopted villages. The findings also indicate that the variables such as education, training received, mass media exposure and extension contact had significant contribution towards adoption of improved rice cultivation practices among the farmers as evident by their corresponding significant 't' values of multiple regression co-efficients. This calls for extension agencies and other departments to manipulate these crucial factors in order to bring about desirable changes in the adoption behaviour of farmers towards improved rice technologies.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The findings of this study have led to some recommendations. Both the Central and State Governments need to redress the issues of poor adoption of improved practices and low productivity of major field crop like rice in the region putting more emphasis on effective transfer of technologies through innovative extension approaches and services in agriculture as this will help to eradicate poverty. There is an urgent need to increase the productivity of small and marginal farmers so as to ensure their food and livelihood security. This can be attained by motivating the farmers to sustainable intensification of production of agricultural crops by using advanced inputs and improved technological package. Necessary technical guidance through extension efforts including specific demonstration and training programmes followed by other extension programmes such as awareness camps may be taken up by the concerned line departments and other stakeholders including KrishiVigyanKendras (KVKs). Farmers should be encouraged to make use of all the improved rice cultivation and other management practices to achieve the desired result of sustainability in agriculture and boosting rice production in the region.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research activities were carried out as an institutional project funded by ICAR-Zonal Project Directorate, Zone-III, Barapani, Meghalaya. The authors are grateful to all scientific and technical staff of KVKs under Zone-III who directly and indirectly assisted in selection of villages and data collection from the respondents.

REFERENCES

Bharathamma GV, Angadi JG, Hirevenkanagoudar LV, Natikar KV 2006. Empowerment of rural women through income generating activities. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences,* 19(3): 600-602.

Das Gupta S 1989. *Diffusion of Agricultural Innovation in Village India*. New Delhi: Wiley Eastern Ltd.

Kumar S 2009. *A Study on Technological Gap in Adoption of the Improved Cultivation Practices by the Soyabean Growers*. Unpublished. M.Sc (Agri) Thesis, Dharwad, India: University of Agricultural Sciences.

Guillaume L, Khanla N, Etienne J, Anousith K, Pascal L, Chanxay K, Castella Christophe J 2012. To till or not to till? The diffusion of conservation agriculture in Xieng Khouong Province, Lao PDR opportunities and constraints. *Outlook on Agriculture,* 41(1): 41-49.

Naik RD 2005. *A Study on Knowledge and Adoption Pattern of Improved Sugarcane Practice in Bihar District*. Unpublished. MSc (Agri) Thesis, Dharwad, India: University of Agricultural Sciences.

Nell WT, Schalkwykvan HD, Sanden JH, Schwalbach L, Bester CJ 1998. Adoption of veterinary surgeon service by sheep and goat farmers in Qwaqwa. *Agrekon*, 37(4): 418-434.

Raghvendra HN 1997. *A Study on Knowledge and Adoption Behaviour of Arecanut: Practices by Small Farmers in Location Specific Technology Blocks of Kolar District in Karnataka*. MSc (Agri.) Thesis, Unpublished. University of Agricultural Sciences.

Saikrishna N 1998. *A Study on Knowledge of Paddy Cultivation Practices and Adoption Behaviour of Andhra Migrant Farmers in Raichur District*. MSc (Agri.) Thesis, Unpublished. Bangalore, India: University of Agricultural Sciences.

Sidram 2008. *Analysis of Organic Farming Practices Pigeon Pea in Gulabarga District of Karnataka State*.

MSc (Agri) Thesis, Unpublished. Dharwad, India: University of Agricultural Sciences.

Thippeswamy R 2007. *A Study on Knowledge and Adoption of Plant Protection Measures in Coconut Cultivation by Farmers of Chitraduga District*. MSc (Agri) Thesis, Unpublished. Dharwad, India: University of Agricultural Sciences.

Trivedi G, Pareek U 1964. *Socio-economic Status Scale (Rural)*. New Delhi: Manasyan.

Umar SI, Ndanitsa MA, Olaleye SR 2009. Adoption of improved rice production technologies among youth farmers in Gbako Local Government area, Niger State. *Journal of Agricultural Extension,* 13(1): 1-8.

Paper received for publicaiton on September 2015
Paper accepted for publication on January 2016